

## **PREFACE**

### **Forward**

Change is a reality in our communities. It may occur slowly, over time, or faster than we seemingly can adjust to. We can react to change or to anticipate and direct the changes that occur. When communities engage in a comprehensive planning process they are both responding to changes that have happened or are happening, and planning for changes that will likely occur in the future.

Greensburg has recently experienced the beginning of a significant change in the community with the announcement that Honda will be building a major manufacturing operation just outside of the city. The new plant will bring jobs to the community and could bring more manufacturing, distribution, retail, and office development to the city in addition to residential growth.

An agreement has been reached which will allow the City to annex the Honda site in phases. Annexation of the facility will provide the City with additional financial resources and added responsibility to provide public services to the plant.

This plan accounts for the changes that are occurring in the community while planning for the changes that will occur in the community over the next twenty years.

Indiana statutes establish the minimum requirements for a comprehensive plan. As stated in IC 36-7-4-502, a comprehensive plan must contain at least:

1. A statement of objectives for the future development of the jurisdiction;
2. A statement of policy for the land use development of the jurisdiction;
3. A statement of policy for the development of public ways, public places, public lands, public structures, and public utilities.

This comprehensive planning process has been concurrent with a similar comprehensive planning process for Decatur County. In the beginning the two processes were parallel, with joint Steering Committee meetings for both plans. The plans are integrated with one another as the committees have worked together.

This comprehensive plan addresses these elements in Part II: Policy Plan through the land use plan, transportation plan, sub-area plans, and issue specific plans. Each of these plan chapters includes policy statements in the form of goals and objectives.

### **Framework**

The framework of this comprehensive plan is formed around four key questions that the plan both asks and attempts to answer. These questions are:

- Where are we now?

- Where are we going?
- Where do we want to go?
- How do we get there?

The question of “Where are we now?” is addressed in Part I: Context which includes the history and setting of the community, local dynamics, a demographic and economic profile, physical analysis, and key issues. The responses to this question are descriptive and based on published data and observed characteristics.

“Where are we going?” is the question we must answer to determine the current path we are taking. This path is the likely outcome for the future of the community if no changes are made to current policy or programming. The size of the future population, the amount of new development required to serve that population, and the location of new development based on current trends are some indicators that determine the response to this question.

We ask, “Where do we want to go?” to identify the desired community characteristics for the future. Responses to this question are a result of input from the public, the plan’s steering committee, a focus group, and key stakeholders. The response takes the form of land use scenarios, a vision statement, goals, objectives, and planning principles which verbally and graphically depict the desired future for Greensburg.

Finally, responses to “How do we get there?” provide the community with tools to implement the comprehensive plan and shape the community in a way that is consistent with the desired vision of the future. They include action steps, timeframes, potential funding sources, responsible parties, and other details that will assist the community achieve its vision,

### **How to use this Document**

Part I: Context – Describes the existing conditions of the community, both in terms of demographic and economic analysis and through observation and input from key stakeholders. This part answers the questions “where are we now?” and “Where are we going?”

Part II: Policy Plan – Establishes the vision for the community, and lays out goals and policies for land use (Chapter 3), transportation (Chapter 4), sub-areas such as downtown and the I-74/US 421 interchange, and specific issues such as corridors and an agricultural business park. Part II poses and answers the question, “Where do we want to go?”

Part III: Implementation – Provides a blueprint for implementing the plan including action steps, suggested revisions to ordinances, new and modified programs, potential funding sources, etc. This part answers the question, “How do we get there?”

Part IV: Context Report – Summarizes the public participation responses that were received from the public, key person interviews, focus group meetings, and the steering committee throughout the planning process.

**Definitions**

There are some key terms that are used in the document that are important to understand in order to effectively use the document.

**Action plans:** Specific steps to be taken to implement objectives and goals if the vision is to be achieved.

**Goal:** A broad statement that supports the vision while adding a specific area of focus. It should be lofty, but attainable within the planning horizon.

**Key person/Stakeholder:** An individual who is in some way responsible for implementing the plan or has a vested interest in the outcome of the plan, whose input should be considered early in the planning process.

**Objective:** A statement that adds a level of specificity to the goal, without identifying the individual actions that must be taken for implementation. Objectives are generally measurable so that the community can monitor implementation progress.

**Planning Horizon:** The period of time considered by the plan; a comprehensive plan generally considers a twenty-year period. Review is recommended every five years or as conditions change.

**Policy:** A definite course or method of action to guide present and future decisions. Policies may be legislative or administrative in nature.

**Steering Committee:** The group of people, assigned by the Plan Commission, to represent the community and guide the comprehensive planning process. The Steering Committee members serve as a liaison between the community and the consultant during the planning process.

**Vision:** A broad statement describing the desired future of the city, as shared by members of the community.

**Table of Contents**

Preface

- Forward ..... 1
- Framework..... 1
- How to Use this Document ..... 2
- Table of Contents ..... 4
- Acknowledgements ..... 7

**Part 1: Context**

Chapter 1: Setting the Context

- History ..... 1.1
- Local Dynamics ..... 1.1

Chapter 2: Research and Analysis

- Introduction..... 2.1
- Demographic and Economic Profile ..... 2.1
- Physical Analysis Maps ..... 2.14
- Key Issues ..... 2.14

**Part 2: Policy Plan**

Chapter 3: Vision, Goals, and Planning Principles

- Introduction..... 3.1
- Vision Statement ..... 3.1
- Goals ..... 3.1
- Planning Principles ..... 3.3

Chapter 4: Land Use

- Introduction..... 4.1
- Growth Management Goals and Objectives ..... 4.1
- Scenarios..... 4.3
- Type, Quantity, and Quality of Development ..... 4.7
- Location Criteria ..... 4.7
- Future Land Use Map..... 4.9

Chapter 5: Transportation

- Introduction..... 5.1
- Transportation Goals and Objectives ..... 5.1
- Functional Classification ..... 5.2
- Thoroughfare Plan/Functional Classification Map ..... 5.5

Chapter 6: Public Facilities

- Introduction.....6.1
- Public Facilities Goals and Objectives.....6.1
- Public Facilities Map.....6.3

Chapter 7: Special Study Areas

- Introduction.....7.1
- Downtown.....7.1
  - Information .....7.2
- I-74/US 421 Interchange.....7.4
  - Information .....7.4
  - Recommended Development Pattern .....7.5

Chapter 8: Special Topics

- Introduction.....8.1
- Annexation.....8.1
  - Types of Annexation.....8.1
  - Purposes of Annexation .....8.2
  - Annexation Recommendations .....8.2
- Infill Development .....8.2
  - Why Infill is Important.....8.3
  - Brownfield Development .....8.3
- Corridors.....8.3
  - Corridor Planning .....8.4
  - Key Corridors for Greensburg .....8.4
  - Lincoln Street Enhancements .....8.5
- Agricultural Business Park.....8.6
  - Agriculture as Industry.....8.6
  - Models of Agricultural Business Parks .....8.6

**Part 3: Implementation**

Chapter 9: Implementation

- Introduction.....9.1
- Action Plan: Land Use .....9.1
- Action Plan: Transportation .....9.11
- Action Plan: Public Facilities.....9.15
- Programs and Tools .....9.19

**Part 4: Context Report**

Chapter 10: Public Participation

Introduction.....	10.1
Key Person Interviews.....	10.1
Focus Group Meetings.....	10.3
Steering Committee.....	10.6
Public Workshops.....	10.6

## **Acknowledgements**

Honorable Frank Manus, Mayor, City of Greensburg

### *Greensburg City Council*

Larry Bower  
Ken Dornich  
Helen Gardner  
Gary Herbert  
Glen Tebbe

### *Greensburg Plan Commission*

Larry Bower  
Dan Manus  
Roy Middendorf  
Lynne Wells  
Tom Hunter  
Gary Herbert  
Glen Tebbe  
Kathy Reynolds, Plan Director

### *Steering Committee Members*

Kathy Reynolds  
Larry Bower  
Tom Hunter  
Gary Herbert  
Vicki Kellerman  
Roy Middendorf  
Bryan Robbins  
Jennifer Sturges  
Scott Chasteen  
Bill Meyerrose  
John Tumilty

### *Consultant Team*

HNTB Corporation  
111 Monument Circle, Suite 1200  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

This page intentionally left blank.